



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/778,565	02/07/2001	Murthi Nanja	INTL-0521-US (P10765)	4410	
21906	7590 08/26/2005		EXAMINER		
TROP PRUI	NER & HU, PC		WOO, IS	AAC M	
8554 KATY I	FREEWAY				
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	HOUSTON, TX 77024			2162	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/778,565	NANJA, MURTHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Isaac M. Woo	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>04 August 2005</i> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 12-19 and 23-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,12-19 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) 🔟 Otner:					
	tion Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050817				

Art Unit: 2162

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Applicant's Amendments on August 04, 2005 have been considered and USC 101 rejection in a Final Rejection action (mailed on 7/20/2005) has been withdrawn but arguments for art rejection with Khan et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,575) and Ohashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,172,699) are not persuasive.

## Response to arguments

2. Applicant's Remarks filed on May 16, 2005, argues that the second reference of Ohashi et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,172,699, hereinafter, "Ohashi") is insufficient for limitations of "single connection session" to combine with Khan (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,575, hereinafter, "Khan").

However, examiner disagrees. From claimed limitations "automatically transferring information to a wireless device at a predetermined time, from two or more web sites in a single connection session", Khan discloses, "automatically transferring information to a wireless device at a predetermined time, from two or more web sites".

Ohashi discloses, "transferring data in a single connection session in network" (col. 7, lines 55-66, col. 2, lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 60-67 to col. 4, lines 1-15). "Reason, suggestion, or motivation to combine two or more prior art references in single invention may come from references themselves, from knowledge of those skilled in art that certain references or disclosures in references are known to be of interest in particular field, or from nature of problem to be solved;" Pro-Mold and Tool Co. v.

Art Unit: 2162

Great Lakes Plastics Inc. U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit 37 USPQ2d 1626

Decided February 7, 1996 Nos. 95-1171, -1181. "Prima facie case of obviousness is established when teachings of prior art appear to suggest claimed subject matter to person of ordinary skill in art; it is incumbent upon applicant to go forward with objective evidence of nobviousness once prima facie case is established." In re Rinehart (CCPA) 189 USPQ 143 Decided Mar. 11, 1976 No. 75-608 U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals. Thus, Ohashi is sufficient to provide the missing limitation from Khan and combine with Khan.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 12-19 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,575, hereinafter, "Khan") in view of Ohashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,172,699).

With respect to claims 1, 8, 12, 19 and 23, Khan discloses the method, medium storing instructions processor-based system, and system, aggregating information (web content) from two or more web site (col. 10, lines 44-50) on a client (302, fig.3, col. 11,

Page 4

Art Unit: 2162

lines 36-53), see (FIG. 2, FIG. 3, col. 9, lines 55-67 to col. 10, lines 1-26, col.11, lines 36-64, col. 1, lines 43-67 to col. 2, lines 1-34); detecting the occurrence of a predetermined time, see (col. 11, lines 13-22, col. 2, lines 39-48); and automatically transferring information to a wireless device at the predetermined time, see (col. 11, lines 13-22, col. 2, lines 39-48, fig. 2, fig.3) from the two or more web sites (col. 10, lines 44-50). Khan does not explicitly disclose, single connection session. However, Ohashi discloses, "plurality of pages of image data is sent in one communication connection session (such a function may be called multi-receiving)", see (col.7, lines 55-66, col. 2, lines 50-67, col. 3, lines 60-67 to col. 4, lines 1-15). This teaches that single connection session provides data transmission (transferring) in network environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Khan by incorporating single connection session as discussed by Ohashi. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a combination because that would provide Khan's system the enhanced auto-data and multiple data transmitting with single communication connection session (without re-connection session) in network communication environment, especially internet communication. Thus, a user can receive information in regular base without the retrying connection session.

With respect to claims 2, 13 and 24, Khan discloses the aggregating the information from two or more web sites on a processor-based system connectable to

the wireless device, see (col. 9, lies 55-67 to col. 10, lines 1-26, col. 1, lines 17-67 to col. 2, lines 1-62, FIG. 3, col. 11, lines 37-67 to col. 12, lines 1-51).

Page 5

With respect to claims 3 and 14, Khan discloses the receiving user requests for information from web sites and storing the information received from web sites, see (FIG.3, col. 11, lines 37-67 to col. 12, lines 1-51).

With respect to claims 4 and 15, Khan discloses the establishing a telephone connection and during that connection, accessing the requested information from at least one web site, see (col. 2, lines 11-34, col. 9, lies 55-67 to col. 10, lines 1-26).

With respect to claims 5 and 16, Khan discloses the accessing information from at least two web sites using a single connection, see (FIG. 4, col. 17, lines 17-67 to col. 18, lines 1-59).

With respect to claims 6 and 17, Khan discloses the aggregating the information in response to the detection of an event, see (col. 7, lines 8-37, col. 9, lines 55-67 to col. 10, lines 1-26).

With respect to claims 7 and 18, Khan discloses the detecting a period of low activity on a processor-based system, see (fig.1, col. 3, lines 44-65, col. 13, lines 13-62, the computer operating system checks cpu usages or memory usages).

Application/Control Number: 09/778,565 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 25, Khan discloses the Internet connection, see (135, fig.1, col. 8, lines 32-54).

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,565

Art Unit: 2162

**Contact Information** 

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isaac M. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMW

August 17, 2005

JEANM. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7